Total Number of Survey Responses: 587

Survey Responses by Affiliation

(Note: affiliations were self-selected by respondents.)

Affiliation	# of Survey Responses
Judge/Commissioner	128
Attorney	136
Clerk of Court	41
Court User/Observer	9
District Court Administrator	5
Other Court Staff	106
Other Government Employee	61
Private Agency Employee	15
Interested Citizen	46
Other	40
TOTAL	587

Top 5 Rankings for Each Affiliation

Note: More than five rankings were given when two topics tied for a top 5 ranking, or when "other" was ranked as a top 5 topic.

CUMULATIVE TOTALS

Not Weighted	
1. Assistance for self-represented	10.31%
litigants	
2. Court Security	9.03%
3. Alternatives to incarceration	8.54%
4. Emerging Technology in the cou	rt 8.41%
system:	
5. Alcohol and drug abuse	7.68%

We	ighted	
1.	Assistance for self-represented	11.02%
	litigants	
2.	Court Security	10.40%
3.	Alternatives to incarceration	9.60%
4.	Emerging Technology in the court	8.27%
	system	
5.	Other	7.93%
6.	Alcohol and drug abuse	7.57%

Judge/Commissioner

0 4	age, commissioner	
No	t Weighted	
1.	Assistance for self-represented	13.87%
	litigants	
2.	Court security	10.00%
3.	Alcohol and Drug abuse	9.52%
4.	Alternatives to Incarceration	9.35%
5.	Other	8.39%
6.	Family and youth issues in court	6.77%

We	ighted	
1.	Assistance for self-represented	15.64%
	litigants	
2.	Court security	11.07%
3.	Alternatives to Incarceration	10.21%
4.	Other	9.89%
5.	Alcohol and Drug abuse	9.35%
6.	Language barriers in court	6.34%

PPAC Planning Survey 2005 Summary of Data

Attornev

	torney	
No	t Weighted	
1.	Alternatives to incarceration	12.56%
2.	Assistance for self-represented	8.71%
	litigants	
3.	Alcohol and drug abuse	8.42%
4.	Specialty courts	7.53%(Tie)
5.	Emerging technology in the court	7.53%(Tie)
	system	
6.	Language barriers in court	7.53%(Tie)

We	eighted	
1.	Alternatives to incarceration	16.03%
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse	9.61%
3.	Assistance for self-represented litigants	9.50%
4.	Specialty courts	8.92%
5.	Emerging technology in the court system	8.33%
	•	

Clerk of Court

	orn or court	
No	t Weighted	
1.	Court security	13.73%
2.	Emerging technology in the court	12.25%
	system	
3.	Assistance for self-represented	10.78%
	litigants	
4.	Court facilities	6.86%
5.	Language barriers in court	6.37(Tie)
6.	Alcohol and drug abuse	6.37%(Tie)

We	Weighted	
1.	Court security	16.99%
2.	Emerging technology in the court	12.58%
	system	
3.	Assistance for self-represented	12.42%
	litigants	
4.	Court facilities	8.01%
5.	Alcohol and drug abuse	5.72%

Other Court Staff

No	t Weighted	
1.	Court Security	14.42%
2.	Emerging technology in the court	12.52%
	system	
3.	Assistance for self-represented	11.95%
	litigants	
4.	Language barriers in court	7.40%
5.	Family and youth issues in court	7.02%

We	Weighted	
1.	Court Security	19.22%
2.	Emerging technology in the court	13.27%
	system	
3.	Assistance for self-represented	12.77%
	litigants	
4.	Language barriers in court	7.46%
5.	Family and youth issues in court	6.57%

Other Government Employee

No	t Weighted	
1.	Alternatives to incarceration	12.04%
2.	Specialty courts	9.03%
3.	Family and youth issues in	8.03%(Tie)
	court	
4.	Alcohol and drug abuse	8.03%(Tie)
5.	Use of alternative dispute	7.69%
	resolution	

We	ighted	
1.	Alternatives to incarceration	14.35%
2.	Specialty courts	9.23%
3.	Other	8.79%
4.	Emerging technology in the court system	7.35%
5.	Alcohol and drug abuse	7.01%
6.	Use of alternative dispute resolution	6.56%(Tie)
7.	Court Security	6.56%(Tie)

Interested Citizen

Interest Citizen		
No	t Weighted	
1.	Family and youth issues in court	9.78(Tie)
2.	Other	9.78%(Tie)
3.	Domestic Violence	9.33%
4.	Assistance for self-represented	8.44%
	litigants	
5.	Use of alternative dispute	8.0%
	resolution	

We	Weighted	
1.	Family and youth issues in court	10.69%
2.	Other	10.40%
3.	Domestic Violence	9.08%
4.	Assistance for self-represented	8.93%
	litigants	
5.	Alternatives to incarceration	8.35%
6.	Use of alternative dispute resolution	7.91%

Court User/Observer

Court Oser/Observer		
Not Weighted		
1. Family and youth issues in	15.56%	
court		
2. Other	13.33%	
3. Domestic Violence	11.11%(Tie)	
4. Assistance for self represented	11.11%(Tie)	
litigants		
5. 4-way Tie		

W	eighted	
1.	Family and youth issues in court	15.56%
2.	Other	14.81%
3.	Assistance for self represented	12.59%
	litigants	
4.	Domestic Violence	9.63%
5.	Use of alternative dispute	8.89%
	resolution	
6.	Court Security	8.15%

District Court Administrator

Not Weighted		
1.	Other	20.83%
2.	Specialty courts	12.5%(Tie)
3.	Increasing fees, costs and surcharges imposed on court	12.5%(Tie)
	users	
4.	Expanding municipal courts	12.5%(Tie)
5.	4-way Tie	

We	ighted	
1.	Other	17.57%(Tie)
2.	Consolidating court functions	17.57%(Tie)
3.	Increasing fees, costs and surcharges on court users	16.22%
4.	Expanding municipal courts	13.51%
5.	Privacy of Court Records	9.46%(Tie)
6.	Emerging Technology in the court system	9.46%(Tie)

Private Agency Representative

Not Weighted	
1. Family and youth issues in	16.00%
court	
2. Alternatives to incarceration	13.33%
3. Use of alternative dispute	10.67%
resolution	
4. Domestic violence	9.33%(Tie)
5. Specialty courts	9.33%(Tie)
6. Other	9.33%(Tie)

We	ighted	
1.	Alternatives to incarceration	18.58%
2.	Family and youth issues in	17.26%
	court	
3.	Use of alternative dispute	11.95%
	resolution	
4.	Domestic violence	8.85%
5.	Other	8.41%
6.	Specialty courts	7.96%

PPAC Planning Survey 2005 Summary of Data

Other

No	t Weighted	
1.	Assistance for self-	12.31%
	represented litigants	
2.	Other	10.77%(Tie)
3.	Court security	10.77%(Tie)
4.	Emerging technology in the	8.72%
	court system	
5.	Language barriers in court	7.18%(Tie)
6.	Alcohol and drug abuse	7.18% (Tie)
7.	Increasing fees, costs and	7.18%(Tie)
	surcharges	

We	eighted	
	Assistance for self-	12.31%
	represented litigants	
2.	Other	12.14%
3.	Court security	10.96%
4.	Alcohol and drug abuse	8.94%
5.	Emerging technology in the	7.42%
	court system	
6.	Language barriers in court	7.08%

#1 Topics for all affiliations

Not Weighted:

Topic	# of Times it was #1Topic overall
Assistance for self-represented litigants:	
Family and youth issues in court:	3
Court Security:	2
Alternatives to Incarceration:	2
Other:	1
Weighted:	
Assistance for self-represented litigants:	3
Alternatives to incarceration:	3
Court Security:	2
Family and youth issues in court:	2
Other:	1

Effectiveness Question

Do you believe Wisconsin courts are performing any less effectively than they were five years ago as a result of budget limitations?

Affiliation	YES	NO	No Response
Judge/Commissioner	60%	35%	5%
	(76)	(45)	(7)
Attorney	57%	36%	7%
	(77)	(49)	(10)
Clerk of Court	44%	51%	5%
	(18)	(21)	(2)
Court User/Observer	44%	56%	
	(4)	(5)	
District Court Administrator	40%	60%	
	(2)	(3)	
Other Court Staff	40%	58%	2%
	(42)	(62)	(2)
Other Government Employee	39%	56%	5%
	(24)	(34)	(3)
Private Agency Employee	60%	40%	
	(9)	(6)	
Interested Citizen	39%	59%	2%
	(18)	(27)	(1)
Other	45%	40%	15%
	(18)	(16)	(6)
CUMULATIVE	49%	46%	5%
	(288)	(268)	(31)